

Archivo Teológico Granadino

ANONIMOUS EVALUATION of Works (“DOUBLE BLIND”)

ARCHIVO TEOLÓGICO GRANADINO (ATG) is an academic journal, founded in 1938 a yearly scientific review of History of the modern theology. ATG is an organ of the Faculty of Theology of Granada (Spain). ATG publishes studies on historic-theological issues of the time, especially the post-Tridentine period.

TITLE OF WORK:

TYPE OF ARTICLE: (to be filled by the direction of the review)

Requested by the editorial board:

Lecture, paper, or non-published report:

Received by the author's initiative:

GENERAL ASPECTS:

	YES	NO
1) According to your judgment, is the analyzed theme of interest for ATG?		
2) Does the article deals with a theme or aspect that is relevant to its subject matter?		
3) Is it a work that summarizes or synthesizes Works which are already known?		
4) In the case that it may be so, are quoted the Works on which the statements are based?		
5) In the case the methodology occupies an important place in the work, do you consider it to be innovative?		
6) Does it use correctly the terminology and the technical language of the theme?		
7) Does it display a clear order or structure of numeration of heading and epigraphs?		
8) Does it own the actualized, necessary and sufficient bibliographical support?		
9) If it displays charts or sketches, are they comprehensible, necessary, and quality?		
10) Does it make use of note at the foot of the page with opportunity and correction?		

QUANTIFIABLE ASPECTS OF THE EVALUATION

	OPINION
<i>Please, place the adequate punctuation according to your judgment: 1=very low, to 5=very high</i>	
1) Interest and opportunity of the theme for its publication, in line with the objectives of ATG	
2) Scientific methodology carried out, congruity of reasoning, quality of investigation	
3) It contains new contributions, or original approaches, which merit to be published	
4) Quality of documentary support, bibliographical references, illustrations, drawings, charts	
5) Quality of the use of the idiom and scientific accuracy of the technical appropriate language	
6) Summing up, if you think that the work can be published without changes, fill the box with a YES	
7) To sum up, if you concenter that the work must not be published, please mark the bos with a NO	
8) In short, if you consider that the work can be published with changes or reforms, please mark this box indicating: YES, WITH CHANGES in the sections which are indicated next:	

OTHER REMARKS OR COMPLEMENTARY EXPLANATIONS, especially the ones related to the important corrections which must be taken into account:

PLACE AND DATE

EVALUATING PERSON/ He or She (referee):

NAME OF THE EVALUATING PERSON:
DATE OF RECEPTION BY THE EVALUATING PERSON:
DATE OF SENDING BACK BY THE EVALUATING PERSON: